ORDER SCHEDULING AN INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

McMAHON, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby, ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Counsel receiving this order shall contact all counsel of record (or where a party is not yet represented, such party) and, if necessary, provide them with a copy of this order.
- 2. Counsel for all parties are directed to confer, complete and sign the attached "Civil Case Management Plan" and to fax it to the court within Thirty (30) Days. The parties are free to set their own deadlines as long as the plan provides for the completion of <u>all</u> discovery within six months of this order and the filing of a proposed "joint final-pretrial order," within forty-five days of the close of discovery. (The "trial ready" date is to be left blank.)

If a conforming case management plan is timely submitted, the parties need not appear for an initial conference. If the parties fail to agree upon such a plan or fail to submit the plan to the court within the time provided (thirty days from the date of this order), the parties must appear for a conference on 7/11/2008 in courtroom 21B, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007 at 11:30 a.m.

- 3. Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by faxing a letter to the court.
- 4. If a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties must attend the conference, even if counsel have agreed upon a case management plan. Upon request, the court will adjourn the conference until the motion is fully briefed.
- 5. Parties are to follow Judge McMahon's practice rules. To access a copy of the Judge's rules go to the court's website www.nysd.uscourts.gov and click on "Judge McMahon."
- 6. Judge McMahon's rules governing electronic discovery are automatically in force in this case. The Judge's rules on electronic discovery can be found on the court's website.

Dated: April 24, 2008

Colleen McMahon U.S.D.J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX		
Minermet S.A.,		
Plaintiff(s),	08 Civ. 3715 (CM) (GWG)	
-against-		
P.T. Palm Mitra Mandiri,		
Defendant(s).		
X		
CIVIL CASE MANAG (for all cases except patent, IDEA and cases subject to the Private Sec	and ERISA benefits cases,	
1. This case is/is not to be tried to a jury.		
2. Discovery pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)	shall be exchanged by	
3. No additional parties may be joined after		
4. No pleading may be amended after		
5. If your case is brought pursuant to 42 U.S Supreme Court's observation that the issue of qualit discovery is conducted, counsel representing any de immunity must comply with the special procedure strules, which can be found at www.nysd.uscourts.gov.	fendant who intends to claim qualified et forth in Judge McMahon's individual	
Failure to proceed in accordance with the quather the right to move for judgment on the ground of quanty party who is moving to dismiss on qualified improved the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the quantum statement of the proceed in accordance with the proceed with the proceed in accordance with the proceed in accordance w		
	nal injury, civil rights, employment	
discrimination or medical malpractice cases only): Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and shall be completed by PLEASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery,		

including expert discovery" means that the parties must select and disclose their experts' identities and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration of the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later than the following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by; Defendant(s) expert report(s) by
7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to this case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent order. The text of the order will be found at www.nysd.uscourts.gov .
8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery disputes; go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any extension of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any extension of the discovery deadline in non-pro se cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. Judge McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until the last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may find themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.
9. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual rules, together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limine motions), shall be submitted on or before Following submission of the joint pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limine motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference; responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be called for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.

- 10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.
- 11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be alt that is not foreseeable at the time this order extensions will be granted as a matter of ro	is entered. Coun	
Dated: New York, New York		
Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]		
	SO ORDERED):
	Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge	